



ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE



An Observational analytical study of Anthropological Indices in Young Individuals having familial history of Hypertension

Manish J Biswas¹ | Rahul Kewal Kumar^{1*}

¹Department of Community Medicine, Asst Professor, Raipur Institute of Medical Sciences, CG, Raipur

Abstract

INTRODUCTION : Essential hypertension, a major risk factor for cardiovascular disease (CVD), is prevalent in the adult population. The present study was conducted to compare any observed differences which can be explained in the mean BPs, BMI, Hip waist ratio in young adult of hypertensive and normotensive parents. The study aimed to measure the anthropometric parameters of young healthy adults having parental history of Hypertension and to correlate the anthropometric parameters of these individuals with those of the young healthy individuals who do not have parental history of Hypertension.

METHODS: Cross Sectional analytical study. After Multi-staged Random sampling 100 the students were contacted and self reported parental history regarding hypertension was taken in the study . The list was finalized, of where the parental history of hypertension was there or not. Randomly 50 cases each of both the groups having parental history of hypertension and those whose having no history hypertension was selected. If the consent was not given, or was excluded because of any reason, other participant was included randomly from the list. Thus, 100 students were divided into two groups.

RESULTS: 65% were males and the average age was 19.29 years with a median of 20 years. The difference in mean systolic blood pressure in subjects with history of hypertensive parents was statistically significant . The mean diastolic blood pressure was also significantly higher. Using linear regression analysis with BP as the dependent variable, a significant correlation was found between SBP and DBP of children and mothers and SBP of children and fathers. Linear regression analysis of children's blood pressure and parents' BMI showed significant correlation.

CONCLUSIONS: Present study suggest the need of monitoring the BP of children of hypertensive parents. Health care providers, therefore have an important role to play in educating families and children about approaches that are useful in preventing hypertension.

Keywords: Hypertension, Familial History, BMI, WHR

1 | INTRODUCTION

Primary or Essential hypertension accounts for 95% of all cases of 1 hypertension. It has been identified as a leading risk factor for mortality and ranked third by WHO as a cause of disability adjusted life-year (DALY's). (1) It is one of the major risk factors for cardiovascular mortality, which accounts for 20 – 50% of all deaths in the world. As per world health statistics 2012 report, one in three adults worldwide has raised blood pressure – a condition that causes around 2 half of all deaths from stroke and heart disease. One in three adults worldwide has high blood pressure with the proportion going up to 2 one in two for people aged 50 and above. (1)

Hypertension is a chronic condition of concern due to its role in the causation of Coronary Heart Disease, stroke and other vascular complications. Increasing trend of hypertension is a worldwide phenomenon. (2) Essential hypertension, a major risk factor for cardiovascular disease (CVD), is prevalent in the adult population. (3, 4) Hypertension is the most often prevalent atherosclerosis risk factor in families. (5) It is the commonest cardiovascular disorder, posing a major public health challenge to population and socio-economic and epidemiological transition. It is one of the major risk factors for cardiovascular mortality, which accounts for 20–50 percent of adult deaths.

The adverse association of cardiovascular risk factors in both children and adults with parental history of disease is well recognized. (6, 7) A family history of cardiovascular disease (CVD) has been shown to be a risk factor for the subsequent development of disease. Familial aggregation has been shown to occur for hypertension, (8) myocardial infarction, (9) diabetes, (10) and obesity. (11) In fact hypertension in adults may be preceded by high blood pressure values in childhood. (12) Children with positive family history of cardiovascular diseases have significantly higher body mass index. (13)

Obesity is a common phenomenon occurring in the young adults of today. Obese persons are approximately Times as likely to develop heart disease as normal weighted persons

Overweight and obesity is known to be a significant risk factor for hypertension. The World Health Report, 2002 “Reducing Risks, Promoting Healthy Life” has identified obesity as one of the ten leading risk factors, globally. (14)

Essential Hypertension is much more common in obese individuals. George Smith has also confirmed positive association of weight and Blood Pressure. (15) Further, it is confirmed that change in the Body Mass Index (BMI) from higher range to lower side is associated with decreased cardiovascular risk. (16)

The waist-hip ratio is used as an indicator of body-fat distribution. The waist-hip ratio is the preferred measure of obesity for predicting cardiovascular disease, with more universal application in individuals and population groups of different body builds. Benchmark studies of waist-hip ratio as dominant cardiovascular risk factors were reported in Swedish men and women in 1984. (17)

The present study was conducted to compare any observed differences can be explained in the mean BPs, BMI, Hip waist ratio in young adult of hypertensive and normotensive parents. The study aimed to measure the anthropometric parameters of young healthy adults having parental history of Hypertension and to correlate the anthropometric parameters of these individuals with those of the young healthy individuals who do not have parental history of Hypertension.

2 | METHODOLOGY

This Cross Sectional analytical study involved Prior consent from the Principals / Heads of the randomly selected local Educational Institutions from where healthy young adults were chosen.

Supplementary information The online version of this article (<https://doi.org/10.15520/ijmhs.v9i10.3250>) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Corresponding Author: *Rahul Kewal Kumar*
Department of Community Medicine , Raipur Institute of Medical Sciences , Raipur , CG
Email: manishbiswas@gmail.com

After Multi-staged Random sampling 100 the students were contacted and self reported parental history regarding hypertension was taken in the study. The list was finalized, of where the parental history of hypertension was there or not. Randomly 50 cases each of both the groups having parental history of hypertension and those whose having no history hypertension was selected. If the consent was not given, or was excluded because of any reason, other participant was included randomly from the list. Thus, 100 students were divided into two groups. 50 students will be chosen with History of Parental Hypertension who will constitute as the one group. 50 students with no Parental History of Hypertension will constitute the other group. The participants were approximately equally distributed across age (18–24), sex, and education.

2.1 | Data collection tools and variables

Data was collected by the pre- designed structured interview questionnaire. An interview had questions related to past history of Hypertension, past admissions for cardiovascular diseases and past treatment for cardio active drugs like antihypertensive, antilipidemic, etc and steroid.

A personal history of smoking or other addictions and diet habits, parental history of hypertension was recorded. A detailed clinical examination was carried out by the trained evaluator – intrarater reliability was found to be high. The anthropometric parameters measured in the study were height in cm, Weight in kg, skin fold thickness, body mass index, waist hip ratio.

Blood pressure was measured as per the WHO guidelines. Blood pressure was measured as per the WHO guidelines. (18) The classification of hypertension was taken as defined by WHO/ISH in 1999. (18) Body weight was measured (to the nearest 0.5 kg) with the subject standing motionless on the weighing scale, and with the weight distributed equally on each leg. Height was measured (to the nearest 0.5 cm) with the subject standing in an erect position against a vertical scale and with the head positioned so that the top of the external auditory meatus was level with the inferior margin of the bony orbit (Frankfurt's plane).

The body mass index, or BMI (weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters), is recommended by the World Health Organization as the most useful epidemiological measure of obesity. It is however a crude index that does not take into account the distribution of body fat, resulting in variability in different individuals and populations. WHO criteria were used for classification of the BMI. Waist Circumference was measured at the narrowest level and hip circumference was measured at the maximal level over light clothing, using a non-stretchable measuring tape, without any pressure to the body surface, and both were recorded to the nearest 0.1 cm. As the measurements were taken over light clothing, participants were asked to remove tight or loose garments and belts intended to alter the shape of the body, and the person performing the measurement inspected the tension of the tape on the subject's body to ensure that it had the proper tension (not too loose or too tight). The narrowest waist is easy to identify in most subjects. However, for some subjects there is no single narrowest waist because of either a large amount of abdominal fat or extreme thinness. In the present study, when the narrowest point of waist was difficult to identify (particularly in obese subjects), we measured waist circumference immediately below the end of the lowest rib, because in most subjects the narrowest waist is at the lowest rib. WHR was calculated as WC divided by hip circumference. To reduce subjective error all measurements were taken by the same person. The cut-off used for the waist-hip ratio (WHR) for males was and for females it was 0.8 to define obesity (19) Triceps, subscapular, and suprailiac skinfold thicknesses were measured in duplicate using a Harpenden caliper. Participants with skinfolds too large to be accurately measured by the calipers (>50 mm) were assigned a skinfold thickness value of 50 mm. Exclusion Criteria was History of taking Cardio active drugs like antihypertensive, antilipidemic, etc, Students who leave the examination midway due to any reason. Past history of cardiovascular diseases like hypertension and past treatment for cardio active drugs like antihypertensive, antilipidemic, etc and steroid. A personal history of smoking or other addictions

AN OBSERVATIONAL ANALYTICAL STUDY OF ANTHROPOLOGICAL INDICES IN YOUNG INDIVIDUALS HAVING FAMILIAL HISTORY OF HYPERTENSION

and diet habits, parental history of hypertension. The anthropometric parameters measured in the study were height in cm, Weight in kg, skin fold thickness, body mass index, waist hip ratio, blood pressure.

2.2 | Statistical Analysis

The collected data was depicted in tabular form and interpreted statistically and analyzed. The collected data was statistically analyzed by using the standard tests to ascertain the clinical relevance of the present study. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was done using SPSS version 15.0.

Continuous data were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD).

Appropriate statistical tests of significance like Chi square and multiple logistic regressions were applied wherever necessary. Quality assurance measures were taken appropriately.

3 | RESULTS

Among the 100 participants studied, 65% were males (Table 1) and the average age was 19.29 years (SD ± 2.58) with a median of 20 years, varying from 18 to 24 years. The mean systolic blood pressure in males with history of hypertensive parents was 132.4 ± 12.5 as against 122.2 ± 11.7 in females with history of hypertensive parents. The difference was statistically significant ($p=0.002$) (Table 2). The mean diastolic blood pressure was also significantly higher in males with history of hypertensive parents than females with history of hypertensive parents (87.38 ± 10.7 in males versus 78.1 ± 11.8 in females).

Males with BMI of 25 or more were 34.37% with history of Hypertensive parents, while only 12.1% of females were having BMI of 25 or more with history of normotensive parents. Females with BMI of 25 or more were 22.3% with history of Hypertensive parents, while only 5.8 % of females were having BMI of 25 or more with history of normotensive parents (Table 3).

In male subjects, 23 had a Waist-hip ratio of more than 0.9. While 56.3% of the males with history of

hypertensive parents had a waist-hip ratio equal to or more than 0.9. Among the male subjects, 15.2% with history of normotensive parents had a waist-hip ratio equal to or more than 0.9. In females 52.7% with history of hypertensive parents had a waist-hip ratio equal to or more than 0.8. Among the female subjects, 16.66% with history of normotensive parents had a waist-hip ratio equal to or more than 0.8 (Table 4).

Using linear regression analysis with BP as the dependent variable, a significant correlation was found between SBP and DBP of children and mothers and SBP of children and fathers. The highest correlation was found between SBP of mothers and SBP of children in both sexes ($p < 0.001$).

Linear regression analysis of children's blood pressure and parents' BMI showed significant correlation between mother's BMI and children's SBP ($p < 0.001$) and DBP ($p < 0.001$) and also between father's BMI and children's SBP ($p < 0.001$) and DBP ($p < 0.001$).

4 | DISCUSSION

A population study performed by Brandao, et al. (20) showed a significant correlation only for SBP in the first family relatives of children. In the study by Holland and Beresford, no significant association was found with respect to SBP. (21)

In our study, the mean SBP, DBP and MABP of children of hypertensive parents was higher than children of normotensive parents. Investigators from the Framingham Heart Study evaluated familial BP associations and showed that both paternal and maternal SBP and DBP correlated significantly with that of offspring even after adjustment for covariates known to influence BP. (22)

In the study of Clarke, et al. (23) on a sample of children from the Muscatine Study, both the SBP and DBP aggregated more strongly in the families of children with labile high blood pressure than in the families with low or middle blood pressure. Burke et al. (7) in his study that young adults, parental hypertension was associated with higher sex- and age-adjusted systolic and diastolic blood pressure

TABLE 1: Distribution of study participants in relation to Blood Pressure

Hypertensive parents	32 (49.20)	18 (51.42)	50 (50.00)
Normotensive parents	33 (50.80)	17 (48.58)	50 (50.00)
Total	65 (100)	35 (100)	100 (100)

TABLE 2: Comparison of Mean Blood Pressure of the participants

Blood Pressure (Mean \pm SD)	Male Hypertensive parents	Male Normo-tensive parents	Female Hypertensive parents	Female Normo-tensive parents
SBP (mm Hg)	132.4 \pm 12.5	110.4 \pm 13.4	122.2 \pm 11.7	101.2 \pm 13.6
DBP (mm Hg)	87.3 \pm 10.7	71.2 \pm 11.4	78.1 \pm 11.8	62.1 \pm 9.8
P < 0.01* (significant).				

P < 0.01* (significant).

TABLE 3: Distribution of Body Mass Index in relation to family history of hypertensive parents

	Male		Female		Total
	Body Mass Index <25	Body Mass Index >25	Body Mass Index <25	Body Mass Index >25	
Hypertensive parents	21 (65.62)	11 (34.37)	14 (77.7)	4 (22.3)	
Normotensive parents	29 (87.9)	04 (12.1)	16 (94.2)	1 (5.8)	
Total	50	15	30	5	100

p < 0.01* (significant)

TABLE 4: Distribution of Waist-hip ratio in relation to family history of hypertensive parents

	Male		Female		Total
	Hypertensive parents	Normotensive parents	Hypertensive parents	Normotensive parents	
Waist-hip ratio < cut-off ¹	14 (43.7)	28 (84.8)	09 (47.3)	15 (83.34)	
Waist-hip ratio > cut-off ²	18 (56.3)	05 (15.2)	10 (52.7)	03 (16.66)	
Total	32 (100)	33 (100)	19 (100)	18 (100)	100 (100)

p < 0.01* (significant).

1WHR cut-off points: Male (<0.9) and Females (<0.8). 2WHR cut-off points: Male (>0.9) and Females (>0.8).

levels.

Kelkadi et al. (24) in their study mentioned that the strategies for prevention of essential hypertension should start in childhood. Our previous studies did not show any increasing trend of hypertension in children and adolescents of our community. Kelkadi et al. (25) in their study showed a higher prevalence of some factors associated with increased risk of atherosclerosis in children of parents with premature myocardial infarction.

Głowińska et al. (13) 2002 conducted the study in which children with positive family history of cardiovascular diseases have significantly higher body mass index. Bruke et al. (7) in the study observed that Age- and sex-adjusted subscapular skinfold thickness was 1.0 mm greater in those young adults with a positive parental history of hypertension. The differences in blood pressure levels remained significant even after adjustment for age, sex, and subscapular skinfold thickness. So also in his study Significantly higher systolic blood pressure levels were detected in the young adult children in the mother only, father only, or both parents hypertensive groups compared to the no parental history group. Although blood pressure levels were highest in participants with two hypertensive parents and lowest in those with no parental history of hypertension, no significant differences were observed between the father only, mother only, and both parents hypertensive groups.

Wang et al. (26) in the study conducted to identify the relationship of body mass index (BMI) and blood pressure in which, the BMI positive correlation with systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) was found independent in 7–15 years children and adolescents ($P < 0.0001$) and the partial relation coefficients (r) between BMI and SBP and DBP were 0.323 87 and 0.245 88 respectively.

5 | CONCLUSION:

The findings of the present study suggest the need of monitoring the BP of children of hypertensive parents. Health care providers, therefore have an important role to play in educating families and children about approaches that are useful in preventing

hypertension.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank all the participants of this study. .

REFERENCES

1. Whitworth JWH, Organization. World Health Organization (WHO)/International Society of Hypertension (ISH) statement on management of hypertension. *J Hypertens.* 2003;21(11):1983–92.
2. Mohan B, Kumar N, Aslam N, Rangbulla A, Kumbkarni S, Sood NK, et al. Prevalence of sustained hypertension and obesity in urban and rural school going children in Ludhiana. *Indian Heart J.* 2004;56(4):310–314.
3. Barker DJ, Bull AR, Osmond C, Simmonds SJ. Fetal and placental size and risk of hypertension in adult life. *BMJ.* 1990;301(6746):259–262. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.301.6746.259>. doi:10.1136/bmj.301.6746.259.
4. Bush PJ, Zuckerman AE, Taggart VS, Theiss PK, Peleg EO, Smith SA. Cardiovascular Risk Factor Prevention in Black School Children: The "Know Your Body" Evaluation Project. *Health Education Quarterly.* 1989;16(2):215–227. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/109019818901600206>. doi:10.1177/109019818901600206.
5. Sieja K, Stanosz S, Głowińska N. Histamine and epidermal growth factor in women with fibrocystic changes of the breast. *Elsevier BV;* 2003. Available from: [https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0960-9776\(02\)00280-1](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0960-9776(02)00280-1). doi:10.1016/s0960-9776(02)00280-1.
6. Blonde CV, Webber LS, Foster TA, Berenson GS. Parental history and cardiovascular

- disease risk factor variables in children. *Preventive Medicine*. 1981;10(1):25–37. Available from: [https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0091-7435\(81\)90003-7](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0091-7435(81)90003-7). doi:10.1016/0091-7435(81)90003-7.
7. Burke GL, Savage PJ, Sprafka JM, Selby JV, Jacobs DR, Perkins LL, et al. Relation of risk factor levels in young adulthood to parental history of disease. The CARDIA study. *Circulation*. 1991;84(3):1176–1187. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1161/01.cir.84.3.1176>. doi:10.1161/01.cir.84.3.1176.
 8. Munger RG, Prineas RJ. Gomez-Marín O: Persistent elevation of blood pressure among children with a family history of hypertension: The Minneapolis Children's Blood Pressure Study. *J Hypertens*. 1988;6:647–653.
 9. Khaw K, Barrett-Connor E;
 10. Selby JV, Newman B, King M, Friedman GD. Environmental and behavioral determinants of fasting plasma glucose in women. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1987;125:979–988.
 11. ;.
 12. Currò V, Luca D, Giusti F, Bracaglia D, Buffetti G, Pelargonio A, et al. Correlations between blood pressure values, familial hypertension and anthropometric parameters in a sample of 3-year-old children. *Pediatr Med Chir*. 1988;10(3):273–279.
 13. Sieja K, Stanosz S, Głowińska N. Histamine and epidermal growth factor in women with fibrocystic changes of the breast. *Elsevier BV*; 2003. Available from: [https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0960-9776\(02\)00280-1](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0960-9776(02)00280-1). doi:10.1016/s0960-9776(02)00280-1.
 14. Deshmukh PR, Maliye C, Gupta SS, Bharambe MS, Dongre AR, Kaur S, et al. Does Waist-Hip Ratio Matter? - A Study in Rural India. *Regional Health Forum*. 2005;9(2).
 15. George DS; 2007.
 16. Obesity, report of a WHO consultation on obesity: WHO 2000 obesity preventing and managing the global epidemic. WHO. 2000;.
 17. Welborn TA, Dhaliwal SS, Bennett SA. Waist-hip ratio is the dominant risk factor predicting cardiovascular death in Australia. *Medical Journal of Australia*. 2003;179(11):580–585. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.5694/j.1326-5377.2003.tb05704.x>. doi:10.5694/j.1326-5377.2003.tb05704.x.
 18. World Health Organization - International Society of Hypertension Guide- lines for the Management of Hypertension. Guidelines Subcommittee. *J Hypertens*. 1999;17:151–183.
 19. Physical status: The use and interpretation of anthropometry. Geneva: World Health Organization. World Health Organization Technical report series. 1995;854.
 20. Brandao AP, Brandao AA, Araujo EM. The significance of physical development on the blood pressure curve of children between 6 and 9 years of age and its relationship with familial aggregation. *Journal of Hypertension*. 1989;7(Supplement 1):S37–S39. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00004872-198902001-00011>. doi:10.1097/00004872-198902001-00011.
 21. Holland WW, Beresford S. Factors influencing the blood pressure in children. *Epidemiology and Control of Hypertension*. 1975;p. 375–375.
 22. Havlik RJ, Garrison RJ, Feinleib M. Blood pressure aggregation in families. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1989;110:304–309.
 23. CLARKE WR, SCHROTT HG, BURNS TL, SING CF, LAUER RM. AGGREGATION OF BLOOD PRESSURE IN THE FAMILIES OF CHILDREN WITH LABILE HIGH SYSTOLIC BLOOD PRESSURE. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 1986;123(1):67–80. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a114225>. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a114225.

AN OBSERVATIONAL ANALYTICAL STUDY OF ANTHROPOLOGICAL INDICES IN YOUNG INDIVIDUALS HAVING FAMILIAL HISTORY OF HYPERTENSION

24. Kelishadi R, Hashemipour M, Sarraf-Zadegan N, Amiri M. Trend of Atherosclerosis Risk Factors in Children of Isfahan. *Asian Cardiovascular and Thoracic Annals*. 2001;9(1):36–40. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/021849230100900110>. doi:10.1177/021849230100900110.
25. Kelishadi G, Sarraf-Zadegan N, Gh N, Asgary S, Bashardoust N. Atherosclerosis risk factors in children and adolescents with or without family history of premature coronary artery disease. *Med Sci Monit*. 2002;8:425–429.
26. Wang WJ, Wang KA, Chen CM, Cao RX, Bai YM, Ma LM, et al. The study on relationship of body mass index and blood pressure in children and adolescents of Beijing. *Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi*. 2004;25(2):109–121.

How to cite this article: Biswas M.J., Kewal Kumar R., An Observational analytical study of Anthropological Indices in Young Individuals having familial history of Hypertension. *Innovative Journal of Medical and Health Science*. 2019;1–8. <https://doi.org/xx.xxx/xxx.xx>
