



LETTER TO THE EDITOR



Prospective studies are required to assess the prevalence/incidence of neuro-COVID

Josef Finsterer^{1*} | Fulvio A Scorza²

¹MD, PhD, Klinik Landstrasse, Messerli Institute, Vienna, Austria

²MD, Disciplina de Neurociência. Escola Paulista de Medicina/Universidade Federal de São Paulo/. (EPM/UNIFESP). São Paulo, Brasil

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19, neurological, nerves, neuropathy

Copyright : © 2021 The Authors. Published by Innovative Journal. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1 | LETTER TO THE EDITOR

With interest we read the article by Khedr et al. about a retrospective cohort study of 117 patients with neuro-COVID collected during a three months period in Upper Egypt [1]. It was found that stroke was the most frequent central nervous system (CNS) manifestation of neuro-COVID in this cohort and that anosmia/ageusia was the most frequent peripheral nervous system (PNS) manifestation of neuro-COVID [1]. The study is appealing but raises the following comments and concerns.

The main shortcoming of the study is its retrospective design [1]. Since not all 447 COVID-19 patients admitted during the observational period were systematically seen by a neurologist [1], the frequency of neuro-COVID manifestations cannot be reliably assessed. We should know how many of the 117 patients were truly seen by a neurologist and how many had an MRI, nerve conduction studies, electromyography, electroencephalography, and cerebro-spinal fluid (CSF) investigations. According to the method

section, supplementary investigations were carried out only “if indicated” [1]. Thus, a number of abnormalities may have been missed, particularly in patients with “non-specific neuropsychiatric symptoms”.

A further shortcoming is that among the 117 patients with neuro-COVID only in 55 patients the SARS-CoV-2 infection was confirmed by PCR testing. The remaining 62 patients were classified as probable COVID-19 patients, which is why the evaluation should be carried out for both groups separately.

Another shortcoming is that the term “convulsions” was not defined in the method section. Thus, we

Supplementary information The online version of this article (<https://doi.org/10.15520/ijmhs.v11i05.3290>) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Corresponding Author: Josef Finsterer
MD, PhD, Klinik Landstrasse, Messerli Institute,
Vienna, Austria
Email: fifigs1@yahoo.de.

PROSPECTIVE STUDIES ARE REQUIRED TO ASSESS THE PREVALENCE/INCIDENCE OF NEURO-COVID

should know if exclusively seizures, myoclonic jerks, or all hyperkinesias were included or not.

Furthermore, an explanation should be provided why 47 patients with headache were assigned to the group with non-specific neuropsychiatric symptoms and why 36 patients with headache were included in the neuro-COVID group. Patients with headache require thorough neurological work-up to exclude meningitis/encephalitis, venous sinus thrombosis, dissection, bleeding, or tumour. Thus, we should be informed if all 47 patients with non-specific headache were truly seen by a neurologist.

Interestingly, two patients with myasthenia were found. We should be told if myasthenia was newly diagnosed after onset of COVID-19 or if these patients had myasthenia already before becoming infected. In the majority of the patients with COVID-19 and myasthenia so far reported, myasthenia was already present before onset of COVID-19 [2]. Only in few patients was myasthenia probably triggered by SARS-CoV-2 as per the end of February 2021 [3].

The title is misleading as not 439 patients had neuro-COVID but only 117.

Overall, this interesting study has several limitations which should be addressed before drawing final conclusions. To assess the prevalence of neuro-COVID prospective studies are inevitable. Such a study should include only COVID-19 patients in whom the infection has been confirmed by PCR.

Declarations

Acknowledgement: none

Statement of ethics: was in accordance if ethical guidelines

Conflicts of interest: none

Funding sources: no funding was received

Author contribution: JF: design, literature search, discussion, first draft, critical comments, final approval, FS: literature search, discussion, critical comments, final approval

Informed consent: was obtained

The study was approved by the institutional review board

REFERENCES

1. Khedr EM, Abo-Elfetoh N, Deaf E, Hassan HM, Amin MT, Soliman RK, Attia AA, Zarzour AA, Zain M, Mohamed-Hussein A, Hashem MK, Hassany SM, Aly A, Shoyb A, Saber M. Surveillance Study of Acute Neurological Manifestations among 439 Egyptian Patients with COVID-19 in Assiut and Aswan University Hospitals. *Neuroepidemiology*. 2021 Feb 25;1-10. doi: 10.1159/000513647.
2. Finsterer J, Scorza FA. Perspectives of Neuro-COVID: Myasthenia. *Front Neurol*. 2021 Feb 26;12:635747. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2021.635747.
3. Restivo DA, Centonze D, Alesina A, Marchese-Ragona R. Myasthenia Gravis Associated With SARS-CoV-2 Infection. *Ann Intern Med*. 2020 Dec 15;173(12):1027-1028. doi: 10.7326/L20-0845.

How to cite this article: Finsterer J., Scorza F.A. **Prospective studies are required to assess the prevalence/incidence of neuro-COVID** . *Innovative Journal of Medical and Health Science*. 2021;1665–1666. <https://doi.org/10.15520/ijmhs.v11i05.3290>